AMA Journal of Ethics®

August 2019, Volume 21, Number 8: E642-644

AMA CODE SAYS

AMA Code of Medical Ethics' Opinions Related to Prescription Drugs

Rachel F. Harbut and Danielle Hahn Chaet, MSB

Abstract

The AMA *Code of Medical Ethics* offers guidance on topics related to prescription drugs, including access, stewardship, and professionalism.

Physicians' traditional role as <u>stewards of limited health care resources</u> is being reinterpreted in terms of their relationships with key players in the health care sector. While physicians still assess risks and benefits when prescribing medications for patients, rising drug prices,¹ formulary restrictions, and quantity limitations² introduce new complications to stewardship decisions. Physicians must not only prescribe clinically appropriate treatments but also coordinate³ and advocate⁴ for patients' access to needed interventions. Additionally, multidisciplinary care teams, necessitated in part by an expanding pharmacopeia and growing demands for access to quality health care, introduce new fields of expertise to clinical encounters. The American Medical Association (AMA) *Code of Medical Ethics* offers guidance to physicians making care plans with colleagues and offering treatment recommendations to patients that are relevant to physicians' stewardship role.

Availability of services and benefits covered by patients' insurance plans, for example, can influence clinical judgment.⁵ Opinion 11.2.1, "Professionalism in Health Care Systems," states:

Structures that influence where and by whom care is delivered—such as accountable care organizations, group practices, health maintenance organizations, and other entities that may emerge in the future—can affect patients' choices, the patient-physician relationship, and physicians' relationships with fellow health care professionals.⁶

Opinion 11.1.2, "Physician Stewardship of Health Care Resources," also recommends that physicians "be transparent about alternatives, including disclosing when resource constraints play a role in decision making."⁷ Opinion 11.1.2 states that *individual* physicians "cannot and should not be expected to address the systemic challenges of wisely managing health care resources,"⁷ and offers recommendations for medicine as a *profession* to address systemic inequity. Opinion 11.2.4, "Transparency in Health Care," specifically suggests that physicians collectively advocate for transparency of health plans with which they contract to help reduce external entities' influence on clinical judgment and promote all patients' access to needed care.⁸ Examples of other policies

and practices that promote these goals could include making formularies that list numerous affordable interventions, implementing billing practices that promote <u>cost</u> <u>transparency</u>, and ensuring compliance with federal insurance regulations.

Opinion 11.1.4, "Financial Barriers to Health Care Access," states that "physicians individually and collectively have an ethical responsibility to ensure that all persons have access to needed care regardless of their economic means."⁹ Recommendations include urging physicians to connect patients, when needed, with public or charitable programs that offer resources and support to patients. Opinion 11.2.3, "Contracts to Deliver Health Care Services," advises physicians to endorse agreements that minimize conflicts of interest, avoid "mechanisms intended to influence physicians' treatment recommendations," and support "advocacy on behalf of individual patients."¹⁰

The AMA *Code* opinions discussed here recognize the challenges physicians face when striving to exercise their best clinical judgment for patients, especially given systemic inequities that limit some patients' access to needed interventions. They also serve as reminders of physicians' professional responsibilities to <u>advocate for individual patients</u> and, collectively, to advocate for policies that motivate health equity.

References

- Sable-Smith B. Insulin's high cost leads to lethal rationing. NPR. September 1, 2018. <u>https://www.npr.org/sections/health-</u> <u>shots/2018/09/01/641615877/insulins-high-cost-leads-to-lethal-rationing.</u> Accessed February 7, 2019.
- Wong M. Obstacles to physician-prescribed medications—cost, delays, and denials. *Physician-Patient Alliance for Health and Safety Blog*. November 1, 2018. <u>http://www.ppahs.org/2018/11/obstacles-to-physician-prescribed-</u> <u>medications/. Accessed February 7, 2019.</u>
- 3. Hughes KA. The physician is the ultimate patient advocate. *KevinMD*. July 13, 2015. <u>https://www.kevinmd.com/blog/2015/07/the-physician-is-the-ultimate-patient-advocate.html</u>. Accessed February 7, 2019.
- 4. Montemayor K. How to help your low-income patients get prescriptions drugs. *Fam Pract Manag.* 2002;9(10):51-56.
- Council on Ethical and Judicial Affairs, American Medical Association. Reports of the Council on Ethical and Judicial Affairs. <u>https://www.ama-</u> assn.org/sites/ama-assn.org/files/corp/media-browser/public/hod/a12-cejareports_0.pdf. Published 2012. <u>Accessed June 7, 2019.</u>
- American Medical Association. Opinion 11.2.1 Professionalism in health care systems. *Code of Medical Ethics*. <u>https://www.ama-assn.org/delivering-</u> <u>care/ethics/professionalism-health-care-systems</u>. Accessed February 7, 2019.
- 7. American Medical Association. Opinion 11.1.2 Physician stewardship of health care resources. *Code of Medical Ethics*. <u>https://www.ama-assn.org/delivering-</u>

<u>care/ethics/physician-stewardship-health-care-resources</u>. Accessed February 7, 2019.

- American Medical Association. Opinion 11.2.4 Transparency in health care. *Code of Medical Ethics*. <u>https://www.ama-assn.org/delivering-care/ethics/transparency-health-care</u>. Accessed April 16, 2019.
- American Medical Association. Opinion 11.1.4 Financial barriers to health care access. *Code of Medical Ethics*. <u>https://www.ama-assn.org/delivering-</u> <u>care/ethics/financial-barriers-health-care-access</u>. Accessed February 7, 2019.
- American Medical Association. Opinion 11.2.3 Contracts to deliver health care services. *Code of Medical Ethics*. <u>https://www.ama-assn.org/delivering-</u> <u>care/ethics/contracts-deliver-health-care-services</u>. Accessed February 7, 2019.

Rachel F. Harbut is a fourth-year undergraduate at Loyola University Chicago in Chicago, Illinois, where she studies molecular and cellular neuroscience and philosophy with a concentration in bioethics. During the summer and fall of 2018, she was an intern for the American Medical Association's Ethics Group.

Danielle Hahn Chaet, MSB is a research associate for the American Medical Association Council on Ethical and Judicial Affairs in Chicago, Illinois. Her work involves researching, developing, and disseminating ethics policy and analyzing current issues and opinions in bioethics. She obtained her master of science degree in bioethics, with a focus on clinical policy and clinical ethics consultation, from the joint program of Union Graduate College and the Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai.

Citation

AMA J Ethics. 2019;21(8):E642-644.

DOI

10.1001/amajethics.2019.642.

Conflict of Interest Disclosure

The author(s) had no conflicts of interest to disclose.

The viewpoints expressed in this article are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views and policies of the AMA.

Copyright 2019 American Medical Association. All rights reserved. ISSN 2376-6980