# AMA Journal of Ethics<sup>®</sup>

July 2021, Volume 23, Number 7: E569-575

### **MEDICINE AND SOCIETY: PEER-REVIEWED ARTICLE**

## When Disability Is Defined by Behavior, Outcome Measures Should Not Promote "Passing"

Ari Ne'eman

#### Abstract

When disability is defined by behavior, researchers and clinicians struggle to identify appropriate measures to assess clinical progress. Some choose the reduction or elimination of diagnostic traits, implicitly defining typical appearance as the goal of service provision. Such an approach often interferes with more meaningful, person-centered goals; causes harm to people with disabilities; and is unnecessary for dealing with traits that are intrinsically harmful or personally distressing, such as self-injury. Disability stakeholders should reevaluate outcome measures that seek to eliminate disability-related traits that are stigmatized but not harmful. Using autism and the emergent neurodiversity movement as a case study, this article explores ethical challenges in selecting outcome measures in behaviorally defined disability diagnoses.

#### Measures and Values

Many disabilities are diagnosed through biomarkers. Others can only be identified via behavior. Particularly in the latter context, clinicians and researchers often seek specialized instruments to assess service-provision outcomes. Measures are not neutral, however. They carry their creators' value judgments.

In some conditions defined by behavior, a disturbing trend has emerged: researchers, clinicians, and paraprofessionals are using measures that prioritize reducing diagnostic traits that are neither harmful nor personally distressing, defining typical appearance as the goal of service provision.<sup>1,2,3</sup> Such thinking ignores the stress that passing for normal places on people with disabilities and fails to consider the ethical dimensions of behavior modification in response to stigma.<sup>4,5</sup> This article explores ethical problems with using diagnostic trait reduction as an outcome measure, drawing on autism as a case study.

#### What's Wrong With Passing?

Some approaches to autism intervention, such as applied behavioral analysis, emphasize making autistic people (the term preferred in the autistic community)<sup>6</sup> "indistinguishable from their peers."<sup>7,8,9</sup> This approach defines success as typical behavior, such that a person no longer meets diagnostic criteria for autism.

Autistic adults have criticized this promotion of *indistinguishability* through the neurodiversity movement, which argues that autistic traits are not inherently in need of correction and that the goal of autism service provision and research should not be to make autistic people nonautistic.<sup>10,11</sup> Neurodiversity advocates critique interventions that seek to suppress autistic traits in favor of "passing" (ie, attempting to hide stigmatized identity by pretending to be a member of the unstigmatized majority).<sup>12</sup> In both disability and nondisability contexts, passing has been associated with significant harm.<sup>12,13</sup> Efforts to pass have been identified as a risk marker for suicidality in autistic adults.<sup>14</sup> Such "camouflaging" is also associated with other mental health challenges.<sup>15,16,17,18</sup> One contributor to the Autistic Passing Project, a collection of autistic adults' experiences with passing, shares: "I am actually at a point now where I rarely leave the house because I don't have the energy to pass."<sup>19</sup> Another adds: "These days i am pretending to be normal for them not myself and thats what hurts [sic]," adds another.<sup>20</sup>

Despite these serious ethical problems, autism outcome measures often prioritize the reduction of diagnostic traits.<sup>2,3</sup> The burden has been on critics to show that any given autistic trait does not require suppression. But the opposite should be true: because passing demands impose harm, clinicians and paraprofessionals should instead default to avoiding them, making exceptions only when doing so is: (1) necessary to prevent harm and (2) the least onerous path to preventing harm for the autistic person.

When a behavior is intrinsically harmful, such as self-injury, it is appropriate to seek to address it. But many targeted autistic traits do not meet the high standard of intrinsic harm. Lack of eye contact, unusual prosody and the hand-flapping, rocking, and other stereotypies colloquially referred to as "stimming," among many other autistic traits targeted for intervention, usually pose no problem other than social stigma. Some might argue that while these traits are not harmful, they reflect underlying challenges that *are* harmful. For example, lack of eye contact is associated with social communication difficulties. It is ethical to ameliorate such challenges. But enforcing typical appearance is rarely the most effective or least onerous way to do so.

While some contend that typical eye gaze is necessary for expressive communication, autistic people often use other means to signal attention and reciprocity.<sup>21,22,23</sup> If autistic people derive less information than others from facial expressions,<sup>24</sup> making eye contact might not improve receptive social communication. Since eye contact is difficult for autistic people,<sup>25</sup> it might even distract from relationship building. In requiring that autistic people imitate the form of typical social communication, clinicians might be imposing a cognitive demand that interferes with its function.

Such "teaching to the test" of typical appearance might be actively destructive when autistic traits are personally meaningful, useful, or simply not harmful. Instead, the underlying goal of communication should be prioritized, accepting that even successful autistic people will present differently. While some actions are intrinsically harmful or dangerous, others simply appear unusual or require additional interpretative effort. Although delineating these categories might require debate, acceptance of the latter should be considered part of accessible and culturally competent service provision.

Family members might desire behavior modification to promote typical appearance. But given that passing demands impose harm, it is unethical to attempt to suppress an autistic trait solely because a parent wishes their child to look normal. While

professionals should consider harm to others when evaluating the ethics of behavior modification, they must scrutinize such requests to confirm that such harm actually exists. There might be limited circumstances in which an autistic person chooses to engage in situational passing (eg, to avoid prejudice), but these should remain personal choices, not normative expectations reflected in an outcome measure.

#### **Responding to Neurodiversity's Critics**

Manuel Casanova, a neurodiversity critic, argues that "it's not a blessing to have headbanging, eye-gouging or self-biting,"<sup>26</sup> implying that neurodiversity precludes interventions seeking to address such problems. Perceiving neurodiversity's emphasis on acceptance as incompatible with severe disability, some suggest it should only apply to less-impaired autistic people.<sup>27,28,29</sup> This viewpoint misconstrues neurodiversity's claims. Neurodiversity proponents generally support enhancing the adaptive skills of autistic people.<sup>30,31</sup>

Neurodiversity is best considered a lens through which to evaluate the goals of autism interventions. Does an intervention seek to modify a given trait solely because it is autistic? Or does it proceed more modestly, only targeting that which is intrinsically harmful? This approach is consistent with addressing self-injury or promoting communication. Just as surgically shaping the eyes of people with Down syndrome to look normal is now considered barbaric, neurodiversity requires us to recognize as unethical measures to enforce typical appearance solely to avoid stigma.<sup>32</sup> Suppressing autistic traits in order to promote typical appearance is problematic regardless of level of impairment, while reducing personally distressing or harmful behaviors or developing skills is not.

#### A Call to Reevaluate Autism Outcome Measures

Many autism outcome measures would benefit from reevaluation using a neurodiversity lens. A common measure of repetitive behavior helps illustrate relevant principles. Because autistic repetitive behaviors present differently than those in obsessivecompulsive disorder (OCD), researchers modified the Children's Yale-Brown Obsessive Compulsive Scale (CYBOCS) for autism-spectrum disorder (ASD) by adapting the CYBOCS's compulsions checklist.<sup>33</sup> The CYBOCS-ASD was developed in order "to document the current severity of repetitive behavior" in autistic children.<sup>34</sup> It has been used as a primary outcome measure in clinical trials.<sup>34,35</sup> But autistic repetitive behaviors are different from those in OCD not only in presentation but also in experience. Autistic people generally engage in repetitive behaviors for pleasure or emotional self-regulation, while OCD repetitive behaviors are a cause of distress.5,36,37 The ethics of seeking to suppress behaviors that are pleasurable or helpful obviously differ from the ethics of treating distressing behavior. To justify the former, one must show not only that behavior is aberrant but also that it is harmful. Among the behaviors targeted by the CYBOCS-ASD are hand flapping, drawing objects of special interest, lining up objects, and rereading or watching the same media over and over.<sup>5</sup> These are certainly autistic traits, but they are not harmful ones. It is possible for certain repetitive behaviors to cause harm-for example, if they involve compulsions or violence-but the CYBOCS-ASD casts a less modest net. Similar issues exist with other common autism measures, which prioritize eye contact and eliminating unusual hand/finger/body mannerisms alongside more legitimate priorities.38,39

These examples of outcome measures speak to the danger of conflating diagnostic traits and outcomes. Instruments such as the CYBOCS-ASD could be retooled to only

include items that measure intrinsically harmful behaviors as outcomes. Or they could be used exclusively to explore autism in a value-neutral fashion—with clear instructions that they should not be used to assess clinical progress. But in their current form, their use violates the principle of nonmaleficence by imposing upon autistic people unnecessary and potentially harmful passing demands.

Additional work is required to develop new measures or revise existing ones to address these ethical concerns. Most existing work in autism outcome measurement has focused on topical and psychometric properties rather than ethics.<sup>40</sup> To change this orientation, researchers would be well advised to partner with autistic people themselves.<sup>41,42,43</sup> Community-based participatory research efforts are already underway regarding patient-reported outcome measures.<sup>41</sup> Similar work is necessary to evaluate whether clinical outcome measures target intrinsically harmful behaviors or if they must be revised to remove harmful or unjustifiable passing demands. Stakeholder engagement in the diagnostic criteria for autism in the fifth edition of the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* helped address similar ethical concerns, leading to the removal of language implicitly encouraging clinicians to suppress autistic people's valued special interests.<sup>12</sup>

When the suppression of diagnostic traits is seen as an appropriate outcome, people with disabilities are done a grave disservice. Suppressing atypical behavior might not bring increased quality of life—and in some cases might actively reduce it. Although this critique is most developed in autism, it has relevance to many other diagnoses. Further work is needed to integrate neurodiversity into service provision and research.

#### References

- 1. Fein D, Barton M, Eigsti IM, et al. Optimal outcome in individuals with a history of autism. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry*. 2013;54(2):195-205.
- Grzadzinski R, Carr T, Colombi C, et al. Measuring changes in social communication behaviors: preliminary development of the Brief Observation of Social Communication Change (BOSCC). J Autism Dev Disord. 2016;46(7):2464-2479.
- Fletcher-Watson S, McConachie H. The search for an early intervention outcome measurement tool in autism. *Focus Autism Other Dev Disabil*. 2017;32(1):71-80.
- ASAN statement on Fein study on autism and "recovery." Autistic Self Advocacy Network. January 23, 2013. Accessed August 20, 2020. https://autisticadvocacy.org/2013/01/asan-statement-on-fein-study-on-autismand-recovery/
- 5. Ne'eman A, Albrecht K, Kapp SK. Obsessive-compulsive behaviors in autism. *JAMA*. 2020;323(8):790.
- Bottema-Beutel K, Kapp SK, Lester JN, Sasson NJ, Hand BN. Avoiding ableist language: suggestions for autism researchers. *Autism Adulthood*. 2021;3(1):18-29.
- 7. Leaf JB, Leaf R, McEachin J, et al. Applied behavior analysis is a science and, therefore, progressive. *J Autism Dev Disord*. 2016;46(2):720-731.
- NABA statement on autism treatment: the necessity of applied behavior analytic treatments for children diagnosed with autism spectrum disorders. Nevada Association for Behavior Analysis. Accessed August 30, 2020. https://nevadaaba.org/about/autism-treatment/

- Dr O. Ivar Lovaas, father to Erik Lovaas, founder of the Lovaas Center. Lovaas Center. Accessed August 29, 2020. http://thelovaascenter.com/about-us/drivar-lovaas/
- Sinclair J. Autism Network International: the development of a community and its culture. Autism Network International. January 2005. Accessed August 27, 2020. https://www.autreat.com/History\_of\_ANI.html
- 11. Ne'eman A. The future (and the past) of autism advocacy, or why the ASA's magazine, *The Advocate*, wouldn't publish this piece. *Disabil Stud Q*. 2010;30(1).
- 12. Kapp SK, Ne'eman A. Lobbying autism's diagnostic revision in the DSM-5. In: Kapp SK, ed. Autistic Community and the Neurodiversity Movement: Stories from the Frontline. Springer; 2020:167-194.
- 13. Goffman E. Stigma: Notes on the Management of Spoiled Identity. Simon & Schuster; 1963.
- 14. Cassidy S, Bradley L, Shaw R, Baron-Cohen S. Risk markers for suicidality in autistic adults. *Mol Autism.* 2018;9:42.
- 15. Beck JS, Lundwall RA, Gabrielsen T, Cox JC, South M. Looking good but feeling bad: "camouflaging" behaviors and mental health in women with autistic traits. *Autism.* 2020;24(4):809-821.
- 16. Hull L, Petrides KV, Allison C, et al. "Putting on my best normal": social camouflaging in adults with autism spectrum conditions. *J Autism Dev Disord*. 2017;47(8):2519-2534.
- 17. Raymaker DM, Teo AR, Steckler NA, et al. "Having all of your internal resources exhausted beyond measure and being left with no clean-up crew": defining autistic burnout. *Autism Adulthood*. 2020;2(2):132-143.
- 18. Hull L, Mandy W, Lai MC, et al. Development and validation of the Camouflaging Autistic Traits Questionnaire (CAT-Q). *J Autism Dev Disord*. 2019;49(3):819-833.
- 19. Autistic Passing Project Tumblr page. no because i try... :/ to hide the fact eg stimming and the things i talk about this means i dont talk mutch and dont have any friends :(I am actually at a point now where I rarely leave the house because I don't have the energy to pass. August 9, 2011. Accessed August 25, 2020. https://autisticpassing.tumblr.com/post/8687827020/no-because-i-try-to-hide-the-fact-eg-stimming
- 20. Autistic Passing Project Tumblr page. (a page filled with text, no pictures) I've many say they don't believe I have it. It makes me feel good to know that I may have misdiagnosed. The diagnosis has been absolute hell for me. I've been discriminated against for work and other things... August 9, 2011. Accessed August 25, 2020. https://autisticpassing.tumblr.com/post/8688317782/a-page-filled-with-text-no-pictures-ive-many
- 21. Trevisan DA, Roberts N, Lin C, Birmingham E. How do adults and teens with selfdeclared autism spectrum disorder experience eye contact? A qualitative analysis of first-hand accounts. *PLoS One*. 2017;12(11):e0188446.
- 22. Gernsbacher MA. Toward a behavior of reciprocity. *J Dev Process*. 2006;1(1):139-152.
- 23. Gernsbacher MA, Stevenson JL, Khandakar S, Goldsmith HH. Why does joint attention look atypical in autism? *Child Dev Perspect*. 2008;2(1):38-45.
- 24. Akhtar N, Gernsbacher MA. On privileging the role of gaze in infant social cognition. *Child Dev Perspect*. 2008;2(2):59-65.
- 25. Loth E, Garrido L, Ahmad J, Watson E, Duff A, Duchaine B. Facial expression recognition as a candidate marker for autism spectrum disorder: how frequent and severe are deficits? *Mol Autism.* 2018;9:7.

- 26. Opar A. In search of truce in the autism wars. Spectrum News. April 24, 2019. Accessed August 27, 2020. https://www.spectrumnews.org/features/deepdive/search-truce-autism-wars/
- 27. Jaarsma P, Welin S. Autism as a natural human variation: reflections on the claims of the neurodiversity movement. *Health Care Anal*. 2012;20(1):20-30.
- 28. Costandi M. Against neurodiversity. *Aeon*. September 12, 2019. Accessed August 25, 2020. https://aeon.co/essays/why-the-neurodiversity-movementhas-become-harmful
- 29. Escher J. The decline and fall of "autism." Autism Society San Francisco Bay Area. October 16, 2017. Accessed August 30, 2020. https://www.sfautismsociety.org/blog/the-decline-and-fall-of-autism
- 30. Kapp SK, Gillespie-Lynch K, Sherman LE, Hutman T. Deficit, difference, or both? Autism and neurodiversity. *Dev Psychol*. 2013;49(1):59-71.
- 31. Ne'eman A, Bascom J. Autistic self advocacy in the developmental disability movement. *Am J Bioeth*. 2020;20(4):25-27.
- 32. Suziedelis AK. Adding burden to burden: cosmetic surgery for children with Down syndrome. *Virtual Mentor*. 2006;8(8):538-540.
- Scahill L, McDougle CJ. Children's Yale-Brown Obsessive Compulsive Scale: Modified for Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD). Version 5.0. Updated March 30, 2018.
- 34. Scahill L, Dimitropoulos A, McDougle CJ, et al. Children's Yale-Brown Obsessive Compulsive Scale in autism spectrum disorder: component structure and correlates of symptom checklist. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2014;53(1):97-107.e1.
- 35. Reddihough DS, Marraffa C, Mouti A, et al. Effect of fluoxetine on obsessivecompulsive behaviors in children and adolescents with autism spectrum disorders: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. 2019;322(16):1561-1569.
- 36. Jiujias M, Kelley E, Hall L. Restricted, repetitive behaviors in autism spectrum disorder and obsessive-compulsive disorder: a comparative review. *Child Psychiatry Hum Dev.* 2017;48(6):944-959.
- 37. King BH. Fluoxetine and repetitive behaviors in children and adolescents with autism spectrum disorder. *JAMA*. 2019;322(16):1557-1558.
- Chan W, Smith LE, Hong J, Greenberg JS, Mailick MR. Validating the Social Responsiveness Scale for adults with autism. *Autism Res.* 2017;10(10):1663-1671.
- 39. Schmidt JD, Huete JM, Fodstad JC, Chin MD, Kurtz PF. An evaluation of the Aberrant Behavior Checklist for children under age 5. *Res Dev Disabil*. 2013;34(4):1190-1197.
- 40. Anagnostou E, Jones N, Huerta M, et al. Measuring social communication behaviors as a treatment endpoint in individuals with autism spectrum disorder. *Autism.* 2014;19(5):622-636.
- 41. AASPIRE's AutPROM toolbox project: what is the AutPROM toolbox project? Academic Autism Spectrum Partnership in Research and Education. Accessed October 12, 2020. https://aaspire.org/projects/outcomes/
- 42. Nicolaidis C, Raymaker D, McDonald K, et al. Collaboration strategies in nontraditional community-based participatory research partnerships: lessons from an academic–community partnership with autistic self-advocates. *Prog Community Health Partnersh*. 2011;5(2):143-150.
- 43. Nicolaidis C, Raymaker DM, McDonald KE, et al. Creating accessible survey instruments for use with autistic adults and people with intellectual disability: lessons learned and recommendations. *Autism Adulthood*. 2020;2(1):61-76.

Ari Ne'eman is a PhD candidate in health policy at Harvard University in Cambridge, Massachusetts. He cofounded the Autistic Self Advocacy Network and served as its executive director from 2006 to 2016. He also served as one of President Obama's appointees to the National Council on Disability. His current research interests include long-term services and supports, bioethics, and disability policy.

Citation AMA J Ethics. 2021;23(7):E569-575.

DOI

10.1001/amajethics.2021.569.

## Acknowledgements

The research reported in this publication was supported by grant T32MH019733 from the National Institute of Mental Health of the National Institutes of Health.

## Conflict of Interest Disclosure

The author(s) had no conflicts of interest to disclose.

This article is the sole responsibility of the author(s) and does not necessarily represent the views of the National Institutes of Health. The viewpoints expressed in this article are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views and policies of the AMA.

Copyright 2021 American Medical Association. All rights reserved. ISSN 2376-6980